Self-organized Flocking with a Mobile Robot Swarm

Erol Şahin

and

Ali Emre Turgut, Hande Çelikkanat, Fatih Gökçe



KOVAN Research Lab

Dept. of Computer Engineering

Middle East Technical University

Ankara, Turkey



This work is funded by TÜBİTAK (Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) through research grant 104E066.

Swarm robotics

- Inspiration from social insects and animals. Ex. ants, bees, termites, birds, fish, etc.
- Development of
 - robust
 - scalable
 - flexible

coordination algorithms for a swarm of robots

- Extra constraints on coordination mechanisms
 - Local communication
 - No robot IDs
 - No P2P communication
 - No leaders
 - Minimal complexity



Flocking in Nature

Flocking: A group of animals, such as birds and fishes, moving and maneuvering as if they are a 'superorganism'.

Characteristics

- Rapid, directed movement
- No dedicated leader
- No collisions
- Robust and scalable

Advantages

- Protection against predators
- Energy efficiency
- Accuracy in long-range migration



Reynolds' Flocking Algorithm

Reynolds, C.: Flocks, herds and schools: A distributed behavioral model. In: Proceedings of the 14th annual conference on computer graphics and interactive techniques (SIGGRAPH'87), pp. 25–34. ACM Press, New York (1987)

Synthesis of flocking for the first time

"Flock refers generically to a group of objects that exhibit general class of polarized, non-colliding, aggregate motion."



Separation: Individuals avoid collisions with their neighbors

Alignment: Individuals match their velocity to the average of their neighbors



Assumption: Individuals can sense bearing, range and orientation of their neighbors. Such sensors are not available on most robot platforms.



Cohesion: Individuals move towards the geometric center of their neighbors

Robotics

- Mataric (1994). Sense obstacles using IR. Localize itself wrt stationary beacons using sonar → broadcast its position in local proximity. Homing direction known a priori → pseudo-flocking. 20 robots.
- Kelly et al. (1996). Sense obstacles with sonar. Sense bearing and distance of neighboring robots with a custom active IR system. Flocking in constrained environment. Leader election with RF. 10 robots.
- Hayes et al. (2002). Sense range and bearing of neighboring robots with emulated sensors. Collision avoidance + velocity matching (flock centering). 10 robots.
- Spears et al. (2004). Sense range and bearing of neighboring robots by rotating two IR sensors. Attraction/repulsion + viscous force → lattice formation. Moving lattice → pseudo-flocking. 7 robots
- Nembrini (2005). Sense obstacles using IR. Sense robots using an omni-directional IR. Local wireless communication among robots. Coherent movement towards a beacon in an environment with obstacles. Limited success with real robots. 7 robots.

Self-organized flocking as seen in nature has not been achieved yet

Kobot

- Designed specifically for • swarm robotics research focusing on flocking
- Novel IR sensing system (IRss) for range measurement and kin detection •
- Communication and • magnetic compass modules utilized for heading measurement
- Wireless parallel programming • through communication module
- 2 high quality DC gear head motors for actuation
- CD-sized, 350gr, 10hr battery life (2000mAh) •







KOVAN Research Lab

KOVAN Research Lab

Infrared Sensing System





- Modulated IR
- 8 independent sensors@45°
- Kin-detection
- Range measurement
- 8 discrete levels
- 21 cm detection range
- Robust to ambient lighting
- Robust to crosstalk and interference

KOVAN Research Lab

Virtual Heading Sensor (VHS)

Compass

Measures heading of robot wrt the North-pole





XBee module

Broadcasts heading of the robot



Main Controller

Kobots can detect their **relative headings** with respect to each other.

Assumption: The sensed North remains approximately the same within the wireless communication range of the robots



Modeling VHS

• Two main characteristics:

- Number of VHS neighbors: Depends on the range of communication, the number of robots within the range, the frequency and duration of communication
- Nature and amount of noise: Depends on noise characteristics of the digital compass → modeled using the vectorial noise model

VHS Characteristics

Communication Range Experiment



 (1) Prowler, a wireless network simulator, utilized in the experiments due to utilization of more nodes than available physically
 (2) Perceived neighbors depends on communication range
 (3) Saturation at 20 perceived robots

:

KOVAN Research Lab

VHS Characteristics

Group Size Experiment



Isotropy of communication



(1)Communication range set to its maximum(2)Saturation at 20 (1) No directional preference in neighbor selection(2) Totally random neighbors

KOVAN Research Lab

Modeling Noise in VHS

$$\theta_{j} = \angle (e^{i\theta_{a}} + \eta e^{i\xi})$$
$$\theta_{a} \longrightarrow \text{actual heading}$$
$$\theta_{j} \longrightarrow \text{noisy heading}$$



(1)Heading measurements are subjected to vectorial noise
(2)Each noise vector has a direction of ξ and a magnitude of η
(3)ξ is a delta-correlated random variable with a uniform distribution in [-π, π]

KOVAN Research Lab

CoSS Simulator



(1)Co-swarm simulator built on top of Open Dynamics Engine (ODE)
(2)IRss and VHS modeled using sensing characteristics
(3)Kobot modeled using basic cylindrical geometries and actuation characteristics
(4)Used to conduct experiments with large groups

Flocking Behavior

 $a = \alpha h + \beta p$





Heading Alignment (h) Matching heading to the average of VHS neighbors

Proximal control (p)

Maintenance of optimal distance with nearby robots → attraction/repulsion force based on distance Obstacle avoidance → repulsion force based on distance

Motion Control

Forward velocity (u)

$$u = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\|\mathbf{a}\|} \cdot \hat{a}_c\right)^{\gamma} u_{max} & \text{if } \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\|\mathbf{a}\|} \cdot \hat{a}_c \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Angular velocity ($\boldsymbol{\omega}$) $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\angle \mathbf{a} - \angle \hat{a}_c)K_p$

Rotational speed of the right motor (N_R)

$$N_R = \left(u - \frac{\omega}{2}l\right)\frac{60}{2\pi r}$$

Rotational speed of the left motor (N_L)

$$N_L = \left(u + \frac{\omega}{2}l\right)\frac{60}{2\pi r}$$



KOVAN Research Lab

KOVAN Research Lab

Full-Fledged Flocking

Self-Organized Flocking of Kobots in a Closed Arena

Ali E. Turgut, Hande Çelikkanat, Fatih Gökçe and Erol Şahin



KOVAN Research Lab. Dept. of Computer Eng. Middle East Technical University Ankara, Turkey



•Turgut A.E., Celikkanat H., Gokce, F. and Sahin, E. (2008) . **Self-Organized Flocking in Mobile Robot Swarms**. Swarm Intelligence, vol 2, no:2-3.

•Turgut, A.E., Çelikkanat, H., Gökçe, F., Şahin, E.: **Self-organized flocking with a mobile robot swarm.** In: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems (AAMAS 2008), pp. 39–46. (2008)

KOVAN Research Lab



Flocking with a Swarm of 1000 Kobots



(1)Controller parameters: R = 20m, $\eta = 3$ and N = 10(2)VHS characteristics: $\alpha = 0.125$, $\beta = 2$, $u_{max} = 0.07m/s$ and $K_p = 0.5$ (3)Total displacement of the group is 110 m after 2000 s

17/39



18/39

Metrics of Flocking Behavior

• Entropy: Measures the positional order of the group

KOVAN Research Lab

$$H(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \log_2(p_k)$$

$$\|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j\| \le h$$

$$S = \int_0^\infty H(h) dh$$

$$S = 1.75$$

$$S = 0.75$$

$$S = 0.41$$

$$S = 0.34$$

$$S = 0.34$$



- Average forward velocity: Measures the displacement of the group at a unit time
- Success rate: Measures the ratio of successful runs out of total runs of a particular experiment

KOVAN Research Lat

Analysis of Flocking Behavior

Controller parameters

- Weight of proximal control behavior
- Maximum forward speed
- Proportional gain
- Virtual heading sensor characteristics
 - Heading noise
 - Number of perceived neighbors
 - Range

KOVAN Research Lab

KOVAN Research Lab

Weight of Proximal Control



(1) β enables cohesion, small β cannot preserve connectivity (2) β decreases average forward velocity



KOVAN Research Lab

Proportional Gain



(2)Smaller Kp fails to maintain connectivity (3)Large Kp decreases the average forward velocity

24/39



(1)Behavior robust to sensing noise(2)Number of perceived neighbors increases robustness to noise



Fig. 18 The range of wireless communication (R) experiments. (a) Box-plot of the rate of change of entropy.(b) Box-plot of the size of the largest cluster

(1)Size of the flock depends on the range of communication
(2)Flock separates into smaller clusters when R<150cm
(3)Clusters have aligned and cohesive motion

Discussions

Range experiments

 (1)Aligned motion is not observed when the range of communication is local
 (2)Results are in accordance with the Mermin-Wagner (Mermin et al.; 1966) which states that long-range order cannot be achieved with short-range interactions

(2)Due to quasi-static movement of robots long-range interactions only be possible when the communication range covers the whole group

Simulations of VNM

(1)Aligned motion of particles are observed only when a distant particle exists in the neighbors of particles

(2)This is due to the fact that information cannot spread in the group with stationary particles having only local neighbors

Simulations of SDP

(1) In SDP, particles are diffusing with respect to each other unlike quasistatic nature of Kobots, hence information is spread among the flock even with local neighbors

KOVAN Research Lab

Modeling and Analysis

headings unaligned

headings aligned



- 1. Model the phase transition in flocking using a simple model
- 2. Analytical treatment of the model to predict the critical noise value
- 3. Numerical treatment of the model to predict the phase transition diagram

•Turgut A.E., Huepe, C, Celikkanat H., Gokce, F. and Sahin, E. (2008) . Modeling Phase Transition in Self-Organized Mobile Robot Flocks. 6th International Conference on Ant Colony Optimization and Swarm Intelligence (ANTS'08).

KOVAN Research Lab

Phase Transition in Flocking



- (1) An increase in noise decreases the order for a constant number of VHS neighbors.
- (2) An increase in the number of neighbors increases the robustness to noise.
- (3) Finite size effects observed in the 7-robot case.
- (4) Disorder-to-order phase transition is continuous.

New Challenges for Cooperative Robotics, Lisbon, Portugal, 24-26 October 2008 Phase transition in mass-less particles (from statistical physics)

Vectorial network model:

Transition from unaligned to aligned motion below a critical noise value. (Aldana et al.; 2003)

- Stationary particles
- Actuation noise
- Local/global neighbors
- Heading alignment



KOVAN Research Lab

- 1. No restriction in the turning rate of particles.
- 2. No inertia like term.
- 3. Inconvenient to model phase transition in flocking.

30/39

KOVAN Research Lab

Stiff-Vectorial Network Model

 $\mathcal{H}(t) = \{\vec{h}_1(t), \vec{h}_2(t), \cdots, \vec{h}_M(t)\}$ where $\vec{h}_j(t) = e^{i\theta_j(t)}$ is the heading vector of the j^{th} particle



Analytical Solution of S-VNM

- Computation of the critical noise value(η_c) in terms of κ, λ and N.
- Compute the probability density function (PDF) of each term.
- At the steady-state PDF of $\theta_j(t+1) = \theta_j(t) \rightarrow closed$ expression for the PDF of θ_j .
- Find the value of η at which a constant distribution of θ_j becomes unstable $\rightarrow \eta_c$. Below which the distribution assumes a preferred direction.

Analytical Solution of S-VNM

• $\Gamma = 1$ determines η_c in terms of model parameters and for the specific parameter set to capture the dynamics of flocking (κ =1.5, λ =22)

$$\Gamma = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \ e^{\frac{-\kappa^2}{2N(1+\eta^2)}}}{2\sqrt{N(1+\eta^2)}} \left[(N+\kappa) \ I_0 \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2N(1+\eta^2)}\right) + \kappa \ I_1 \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2N(1+\eta^2)}\right) \right]$$

And neglecting κ since it is small, the result becomes •

$$\eta_c = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{N\pi}{4}}$$



KOVAN Research Lab

Results of S-VNM



Controlling flocking

• Adding "direction preference":

 $a = \alpha h + \beta p + \gamma d$

- Some robots are "informed" of a direction of preference
- They try to align with the preferred direction:
 - no universal leaders
 - no explicit communication of information
 - some robots <u>have a tendency</u> to move in a specific direction

• Celikkanat H., Turgut A.E., and Sahin, E. (2008) . Control of a Mobile Robot via Informed Robots. 9th International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS'08).

Controlling Swarm of 100 Robots

- 100 robots in flock

10 informed



50 informed



KOVAN Research Lab

KOVAN Research Lab

Mutual Information



 Rate of transfer between informed and naïve robots measured as Mutual Information.



KOVAN Research Lab

••

Controllability



Questions?

Thanks for your attention!



This work is funded by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey through 104E066 research grant.

39/39